

Differential Algebra



compile

decompile



nsen & i

ri

Categories, objects, and morphisms



Main articles: [Category \(mathematics\)](#) and [Morphism](#)

A category C consists of the following three mathematical entities:

- * A class $\text{ob}(C)$, whose elements are called *objects*;
- * A class $\text{hom}(C)$, whose elements are called [morphisms](#) or [maps](#) or *arrows*. Each morphism f has a unique *source object* a and *target object* b . We write $f: a \rightarrow b$, and we say " f is a morphism from a to b ". We write $\text{hom}(a, b)$ (or $\text{Hom}(a, b)$, or $\text{hom}_C(a, b)$, or $\text{Mor}(a, b)$, or $C(a, b)$) to denote the *hom-class* of all morphisms from a to b .
- * A [binary operation](#) \circ , called *composition of morphisms*, such that for any three objects a , b , and c , we have $\text{hom}(a, b) \times \text{hom}(b, c) \rightarrow \text{hom}(a, c)$. The composition of $f: a \rightarrow b$ and $g: b \rightarrow c$ is written as $g \circ f$ or gf ^[2], governed by two axioms:
 - * [Associativity](#): If $f: a \rightarrow b$, $g: b \rightarrow c$ and $h: c \rightarrow d$ then $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$, and
 - * [Identity](#): For every object x , there exists a morphism $1_x: x \rightarrow x$ called the *identity morphism* for x , such that for every morphism $f: a \rightarrow b$, we have $1_b \circ f = f = f \circ 1_a$.

From these axioms, it can be proved that there is exactly one [identity morphism](#) for every object. Some authors deviate from the definition just given by identifying each object with its identity morphism.

Relations among morphisms (such as $fg = h$) are often depicted using [commutative diagrams](#), with "points" (corners) representing objects and "arrows" representing morphisms.

The definitions of categories and functors provide only the very basics of categorical algebra; additional important topics are listed below. Although there are strong interrelations between all of these topics, the given order can be considered as a guideline for further reading.

- The **functor category** D^C has as objects the functors from C to D and as morphisms the natural transformations of such functors. The **Yoneda lemma** is one of the most famous basic results of category theory; it describes representable functors in functor categories.
- **Duality**: Every statement, theorem, or definition in category theory has a *dual* which is essentially obtained by "reversing all the arrows". If one statement is true in a category C then its dual will be true in the dual category C^{op} . This duality, which is transparent at the level of category theory, is often obscured in applications and can lead to surprising relationships.
- **Adjoint functors**: A functor can be left (or right) adjoint to another functor that maps in the opposite direction. Such a pair of adjoint functors typically arises from a construction defined by a universal property; this can be seen as a more abstract and powerful view on universal properties.



Bird-Meertens Formalism

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

The **Bird-Meertens Formalism** is a calculus for deriving programs from specifications (in a functional programming setting), devised by Richard Bird and Lambert Meertens. It is sometimes facetiously known as **Squiggol**, because of the "squiggly" symbols it uses. A less-used variant name, but actually the first one suggested, is **SQUIGOL**.

See also

[edit]

- Catamorphism
- Anamorphism
- Paramorphism
- Hylomorphism

References

[edit]


- Richard Bird; Oege de Moor (1997). *Algebra of Programming, International Series in Computing Science, Vol. 100*. Prentice Hall. ISBN 0-13-507245-X.

Fold



$(1+(2+(3+(0))))$

Destroy/



$0+(0+(0+(0)))$



100th Anniversary

1987

A function

Initial algebra

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

In mathematics, an **initial algebra** is an initial object in the category of *F*-algebras for a given endofunctor *F*. The initiality provides a general framework for induction and recursion.

For instance, consider the endofunctor $1 + \{-\}$ on the category of sets, where 1 is the one-point set, the terminal object in the category. An algebra for this endofunctor is a set *X* (called the *carrier* of the algebra) together with a point $x \in X$ and a function $X \rightarrow X$. The set of natural numbers is the carrier of the initial such algebra: the point is zero and the function is the successor map.

For a second example, consider the endofunctor $1 + \mathbb{N} \times \{-\}$ on the category of sets, where **N** is the set of natural numbers. An algebra for this endofunctor is a set *X* together with a point $x \in X$ and a function $\mathbb{N} \times X \rightarrow X$. The set of finite lists of natural numbers is the initial such algebra. The point is the empty list, and the function is cons, taking a number and a finite list, and returning a new finite list with the number at the head.

Contents

- 1 Final coalgebra
- 2 Theorems
- 3 Example
- 4 Use in Computer Science
- 5 See also
- 6 Notes
- 7 External links

Final coalgebra

[edit]

Dually, a **final coalgebra** is a terminal object in the category of *F*-coalgebras. The finality provides a general framework for coinduction and corecursion.

For example, using the same functor $1 + \{-\}$ as before, a coalgebra is a set *X* together with a truth-valued test function $p : X \rightarrow 2$ and a partial function $f : X \rightarrow X$ whose domain is formed by those $x \in X$ for which $p(x) = 0$. The set **N** = {*ω*} consisting of the natural numbers extended with a new element *ω* is the carrier of the final coalgebra in the category, where *p* is the test for zero, $p(0) = 1$, $p(n+1) = p(\omega) = 0$, and *f* is the predecessor function (the inverse of the successor function, on the positive naturals, but acts like the identity on the new element *ω*): $f(n+1) = n$, $f(\omega) = \omega$.

For a second example, consider the same functor $1 + \mathbb{N} \times \{-\}$ as before. In this case the carrier of the final coalgebra consists of all lists of natural numbers, finite as well as infinite. The operations are a test function testing whether a list is empty, and a deconstruction function defined on nonempty lists returning a pair consisting of the head and the tail of the input list.

Ana = Upwards

Cata = Downwards

gebra

F-coalgebra

Anamorphisms

+

+

+

Partial Algebra

Session Laws

10 = 1/10 of 100

Session Laws

10 = 1/10 of 100

independent

$\mu = \exp \theta$

Algorithmic Sort

$$\rightarrow \text{Time } N \rightarrow (N \rightarrow N)$$

$$F(n) = O(n)$$

compensation

Im

mean

complexities

information system

completeness

explorer-steps

Isn't it



EDM example of SQL data model

The entity type is the fundamental building block for describing the structure of data with the Entity Data Model. [...] Each entity must have a unique entity key within an entity set. An entity set is a collection of instances of a specific entity type. Entity sets (and association sets) are logically grouped in an entity container.




REST example of coSQL data model

Individual resources are identified in requests, for example using [URIs](#) in web-based REST systems. The resources themselves are conceptually separate from the representations that are returned to the client. For example, the server does not send its database, but rather, perhaps, some [HTML](#), [XML](#) or [JSON](#) that represents some database records expressed, for instance, in Finnish and encoded in [UTF-8](#), depending on the details of the request and the server implementation.


```

var q = from product in Products
where product.Ratings.Any(rating => rating == "****")
select new{ product.Title, product.Keywords };

```




SQL data model




coSQL data model

http://www.ics.uci.edu/~fielding/pubs/dissertation/rest_arch_style.htm



resource identifier

resource
representation



`*char hello = B;`

key

B+0

'H'

B+1

'E'

B+2

'L'

B+3

'L'

B+4

'O'

B+5

'W'

B+6

'O'

B+7

'R'

B+8

'L'

B+9

'D'


value

```
void Write(int key, byte[8] data);  
byte[8] Read(int key);
```

ovaluksty

draimord

Consequence: closed vs open




Must reason about all entities at once
(entity set, entity container, transactions, ...)

```
void Write(int key, byte[8] data);  
byte[8] Read(int key);
```

Key space can be partitioned across
many machines

Consequence: declarative vs imperative



Intimate knowledge of closed world
statistics-based query optimization
Highly available

```
void Write(int key, byte[8] data);  
byte[8] Read(int key);
```

Decompose query in parallel Read/Write
Failure

Consequence: typed vs untyped



Typed enough to determine FK and PK

```
void Write(int key, byte[8] data);  
byte[8] Read(int key);
```

Both keys and values can be opaque

Consequence: value vs computation



To efficiently traverse association
and maintain referential integrity
must know values of PK and FK upfront

```
void Write(int key, byte[8] data);  
byte[8] Read(int key);
```

Both Read and Write can involve
arbitrary computation, and potentially fail

culhscamps

recondidit

```
interface IEnumerable<out T>
{
    IEnumerator<T>
    & IDisposable GetEnumerator()
}
```

```
interface IEnumerator<out T>
{
    bool MoveNext()
    T Current { get; } throws Exception
}
```


blæðservinga


(er < Iðbser

ocn þi

```
interface IObservable<out T>
{
    IDisposable
        Subscribe(IObserver<T> observer)
}
```

```
interface IObserver<in T>
{
    void OnCompleted()
    void OnNext(T value)
    void OnError(Exception error)
}
```

```
IObservable<T> Where(  
    this IObservable<T> source, Func<T, bool> predicate)  
{  
    return Observable.Create<T>(observer =>  
    {  
        return source.Subscribe(Observer.Create<T>(  
            value =>  
            {  
                try  
                {  
                    if(predicate(value)) observer.OnNext(value);  
                }  
                catch (Exception e)  
                {  
                    observer.OnError(e);  
                }  
            }));  
    });  
};  
}
```




A diagram consisting of two arrows originates from the `Observable.Create<T>` call within the `Where` method. One arrow points to the `observer` parameter of the `Subscribe` method, and the other points to the `Observer.Create<T>` call. Both arrows converge towards a rounded rectangular box on the right side of the image containing the text **CallCC**.

Unparalleled



Now

Running



compile

dislike



A Continuation Semantics for LINQ

Flatten nested queries into form ("left-deep join")

```
xs().SelectMany(  
    x=>ys(x).SelectMany(  
        y=>zs(x,y).SelectMany(  
            z=>F(x,y,z))))))
```

```
Return(new{ })  
    .SelectMany(_ => xs(),          (_,x) => new{x})  
    .SelectMany(x => ys(x.x),      (x,y) => new{x.x, y})  
    .SelectMany(xy => zs(xy.x,xy.y), (xy,z) => new{xy.x, xy.y, z})  
    .SelectMany(xyz => F(xyz.x, xyz.y, xyz.z))
```



A Continuation Semantics for LINQ

Flatten nested queries into form ("left-deep join")

```
xs().SelectMany(  
    x=>ys(x).SelectMany(  
        y=>zs(x,y).SelectMany(  
            z=>F(x,y,z))))))
```

```
Return(new{ })  
    .SelectMany(_ => xs(),          (_,x) => new{x})  
    .SelectMany(x => ys(x.x),      (x,y) => new{x.x, y})  
    .SelectMany(xy => zs(xy.x,xy.y), (xy,z) => new{xy.x, xy.y, z})  
    .SelectMany(xyz => F(xyz.x, xyz.y, xyz.z))
```


Abstract Syntax

tree representation of abstract representation

Expr ::= Constant

Param

Expr + Expr

Function! ...

Expr ...

equivalently, the following

$$(V \rightarrow Z) \circ \Gamma \mid \Gamma \vdash (X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow Z \vdash (X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow Z$$

$$\text{electivity}(\lambda x \rightarrow y \rightarrow z, x) = x \rightarrow z$$

every other type of selection

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Q[x \rightarrow \text{return}(e)] \vdash x_0 \\
 & = \\
 & \quad Q[\text{true}] \vdash x_0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & = Q[y \rightarrow ys \text{ Select}(y \rightarrow e)] \vdash y_0 \\
 & =
 \end{aligned}$$

$$x \mapsto y \Rightarrow \neg \exists x (x \neq y) \Rightarrow (\neg \exists x (x \neq y) \Rightarrow x)$$

$$(\neg \exists x (x \neq y) \Rightarrow x)$$

$$=$$

$$x \in \text{Select}(U, x)$$

$$\neg \exists x (x \neq y) \Rightarrow x$$

$$=$$

$$x \in \text{Select}(U, x) \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow y \Rightarrow y$$

I fint yur cat theory kool



i mai haz to uz it



Monads as Kleisli triples

Rather than focusing on a specific T , we want to find the general properties common to all notions of computation, therefore we impose as only requirement that *programs* should form a category. The aim of this section is to convince the reader, with a sequence of informal argumentations, that such a requirement amounts to say that T is part of a Kleisli triple $(T, \eta, _*)$ and that the category of programs is the Kleisli category for such a triple.

Definition 1.2 ([Man76]) A **Kleisli triple** over a category \mathcal{C} is a triple $(T, \eta, _*)$, where $T: \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, $\eta_A: A \rightarrow TA$ for $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, $f^*: TA \rightarrow TB$ for $f: A \rightarrow TB$ and the following equations hold:

- $\eta_A^* = \text{id}_{TA}$
- $\eta_A; f^* = f$ for $f: A \rightarrow TB$
- $f^*; g^* = (f; g)^*$ for $f: A \rightarrow TB$ and $g: B \rightarrow TC$.

A Kleisli triple satisfies the **mono requirement** provided η_A is mono for $A \in \mathcal{C}$.

Intuitively η_A is the *inclusion* of values into computations (in several cases η_A is indeed a mono) and f^* is the *extension* of a function f from values to computations to a function from computations to computations, which first evaluates a computation and then applies f to the resulting value. In

misother

Works naturally v

or strong action for

insatiable

→ Mr As A →

invocation is a Monad

`Invoke` $\in (A \rightarrow IO\langle B \rangle) \times IO\langle A \rangle \rightarrow IO\langle B \rangle$

`return` $\in A \rightarrow IO\langle A \rangle$



Effect is implicit

`Func` $\langle A, IO\langle B \rangle \rangle = a \Rightarrow Bar(a);$

`var` `b` = `Foo.Invoke(ma);`

LINQ is Monads

```
from x in xs
where P(x)
let y = F(x)
group G(y) by H(y) into z
select K(z)
```



C# 3.0
monad
comprehension

SelectMany \in

$$M\langle A \rangle \times (A \rightarrow M\langle B \rangle) \times (A \times B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow M\langle C \rangle$$

Works naturally with

everyone



in a thread is

$\langle \rightarrow A \in M \langle A$

TPL Task is a coMonad 😊

$\text{ContinueWith} \in \text{Task}\langle A \rangle \times (\text{Task}\langle A \rangle \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \text{Task}\langle B \rangle$
 $\text{Result} \in \text{Task}\langle A \rangle \rightarrow A$

```
Task<B> Foo(Task<A> ma)
{
    var a = await(ma);
    return Bar(a);
}
```

C# 5.0
coMonad
comprehension

ssad